A NASS Cropland Data Layer based stratification tool for use in NASS Area Sampling Frame construction

> Claire G. Boryan, Geographer USDA\NASS\Research and Development Division Fairfax, VA





NASS Overview

Provider of timely, accurate, and useful statistics in service to U.S. agriculture



What is the Cropland Data Layer (CDL)?

The Cropland Data Layer product is a raster-formatted, geo-referenced, crop specific, land cover product.



Total crop mapping accuracies for historic CDLs range from 85% to 95% for the major crops



Corn	Winter Wheat	Rice
Soybeans	Cotton	Alfalfa

CropScape Portal



nassgeodata.gmu.edu/CropScape

NASS has used area frames for agricultural surveys since 1954

Stratification of Illinois 2006

Land Use Strata

>75% Cultivated 51 - 75% Cultivated

25 - 50% Cultivated

< 25% Cultivated

Non-Aariculture

Water

Agri-Urban: > 100 Homes Per Sq. Mi. Commercial: > 100 Homes Per Sq. Mi.

Projection: NAD 1983, UTM Zone 16





Land Use Strata

USDA

Prepared by Area Frame Section, 200



- 15-50% Cultivated--Native American

Projection: Geographic Latitude and Longitude

Commercial: >20 Homes/Sq Mi Non-Agricultural Water



Prepared by Area Frame Section, 2006

NASS Area Sampling Frames

Area Sampling Frames (ASF) have been used as the primary mechanism for conducting agricultural surveys since 1954 and are considered the backbone to the agricultural statistics program of the NASS.

The NASS ASFs are based on a stratification of land cover in the U.S. by percent cultivated cropland, and are the statistical foundation for providing estimates with complete coverage of U.S. agriculture.

General land-use stratification codes and definitions represented in the NASS Area Sampling Frames

Land-Use Strata Codes and Definitions	Definition
Stratum	
11	General Cropland, greater than 75% cultivated.
12	General Cropland, 51-75% cultivated
20	General Cropland, 15-50% cultivated.
31	Ag-Urban, less than 15% cultivated, more than
	100 dwellings per square mile, residential
	mixed with agriculture.
32	Residential/Commercial, no cultivation, more
	than 100 dwellings per square mile.
40	Less than 15% cultivated
50	Non-agricultural,
62	Water

US map illustrating the implementation years of current NASS Area Sampling Frames



A new automated stratification method has been developed to utilize the NASS Cropland Data Layer in the construction of the NASS Area Sampling Frame



Primary Sampling Units with CDL percent cultivation



Primary Sampling Units with CDL percent cultivation, overlaying a 2010 CDL image product

Cropland Data Layer (CDL) based stratification of a NASS Area Sampling Frame (ASF)



Red dots are location points of in situ validation collected during the 2010 June Area Survey

Objective

The objective of this investigation was to determine the utility of the automated Cropland Data Layer (CDL) based stratification method for use in Area Sampling Frame (ASF) construction.

CDL based stratification of NASS ASF Primary Sampling Units (PSUs) was successfully conducted for Arizona, Georgia, Ohio, Oklahoma, Virginia.

Area Frame manual stratification *matches* CDL based automated stratification



AF stratification – 11 (manual) CDL stratification – 11 (automated)

CDL percent cultivation – 88.97%

Area Frame manual stratification does not match

Cropland Data Layer based automated stratification



CDL percent cultivation – 61%

AF stratification – 11 (manual) CDL stratification – 12 (automated)

Evaluation

Stratification accuracy was measured using in-situ data collected by enumerators during the 2010 June Area Survey (JAS) in the five states evaluated.

Accuracy measures were derived by comparing the strata definitions reported by JAS enumerators with the original ASF manual stratification and the CDL based automated stratification.

Evaluation

To determine if the percentage differences between the original Area Frame stratification method and the CDL based stratification method were statistically significant at a 95% confidence level, a two-tailed proportion test was used.

These tests were performed with Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact tests when the sample sizes were less than five

Evaluation

The hypotheses of the significance tests were H_0 : $p_1=p_2$ and H_a : $p_1 \neq p_2$. The null hypothesis stated that there was no difference in the results of the two stratification methods while the alternative hypothesis stated that the results of the two stratification methods were significantly different.

The tests were performed and p values were calculated for each state and each stratum with a confidential level of 95%.

Area Frame vs. CDL Stratification Oklahoma 2010

		AREA FRAME Stratification			CDL Stratification			P-value
Stratum	Survey Ratio (% Cultivated)	Total Segments	Total Reported	Percentage (p ₁)	Total Segments	Total Reported	Percentage (p ₂)	Ha: p₁ ≠ p₂
11	>=75%	140	47	34%	43	27	63%	0.001
12	51% - 75%	48	q	19%	77	30	39%	0.024
20	15% - 50%	74	26	25%	98	42	43%	0.305
	13/0 - 30/0		20	3378		42	4370	0.303
40	< 15%	61	61	100%	105	96	91%	0.027
Total		323			323			

Area Frame vs. CDL Stratification Oklahoma 2010

AREA FRAME Stratification

CDL Stratification



Stratum & Percent Cultivation

Area Frame vs. CDL Stratification Five State - Strata Summary, 2010

		AREA FRAME Stratification			CDL Stratification			P-value
	Survey Ratio (%	Total	Total		Total	Total		
Stratum	Cultivated)	Segments	Reported	Percentage (p₁)	Segments	Reported	Percentage (p₂)	Ha: p₁ ≠ p₂
11								
	>75%	250	131	52%	128	103	80%	0.000
12								
	51% - 75%	83	24	29%	119	53	45%	0.025
13								
	>50%	171	90	53%	91	69	76%	0.000
20								
	15% - 50%	371	177	48%	387	219	57%	0.000
40	< 15%	322	305	95%	472	407	86%	0 000
	- 13/0	522		5570	772		0070	0.000
				6 4 6 4				
Total		1197	727	61%	1197	851	71%	0.000

Five State Analysis - Arizona, Georgia, Ohio, Oklahoma, Virginia.

Area Frame vs. CDL Stratification Five State - Strata Summary 2010

AREA FRAME Stratification

CDL Stratification



Stratum & Percent Cultivation

Conclusion

Results of the five state analyses indicated that the new automated Cropland Data Layer (CDL) stratification method performed well in determining U.S. percent cultivation **in moderate to highly intensive cropped areas** and weaker in non agricultural areas.

The strength of the CDL product and the CDL based stratification method is the **objective and consistent identification of cultivated cropland**.

Conclusion

The Cropland Data Layer based stratification method can be used for

- review of current Area Sampling Frames
- as a change detection technique
- as the primary method of stratification

The Cropland Data Layer based automated stratification method should improve the efficiency, reduce the cost and improve the precision of the June Agricultural Survey estimates.

Thank you! Questions?

Claire G. Boryan, Geographer National Agricultural Statistics Service USDA 3251 Old Lee Hwy Fairfax VA 703-877-8000 x 107 Claire_boryan@nass.usda.gov



