



## Evaluating the Use of Web-Scraped List Frames to Assess Undercoverage in Surveys: Lessons from Local Foods Marketing

Linda J. Young National Agricultural Statistics Service March 7, 2018





- Fall 2015: NASS became aware of the need to conduct a local foods study with results to be published in 2016
- 2015 was chosen as the reference year
- Publication was slated for December, 2016



## **Key Definition for Local Foods**



Operation: A farm having at least \$1,000 in sales or potential sales, which in 2015 produced and sold food for humans to eat or drink directly to

- » consumers
- » retail markets
- » institutions
- » intermediary businesses marketing the food as being locally produced





- 2012 Census of Agriculture
  - 144,530 Local Foods Farms
  - \$1,309,827,000 in Sales
- 2007 Census of Agriculture
  - 136,817 Local Foods Farms
  - \$1,211,270,000 in Sales





- Emerging sectors
  - Urban agriculture
  - Organics
  - Horticulture
  - Local Foods
- These tend to be
  - Smaller
  - More diverse
  - More transient
  - More dispersed
  - than the more traditional farms in rural areas
- Hard to Quantify

# Challenges with Identifying Small Farms



- NASS list frame
  - List of all known farms and potential farms
  - Known to be incomplete, especially for small farms
  - In 2012 Census of Agriculture, a 12.3% adjustment in the number of farms was due to undercoverage

# Challenges with Identifying Small Farms



- Need to be able to assess undercoverage on NASS list frame
  - Sampling from NASS area frame not cost-effective when farms are dispersed
  - June Agricultural Survey (JAS) sample from NASS area frame—Insufficient number of small farms
  - Need a new approach

Big Idea: Create an independent list frame using web scraping



A Web-Scraped List Frame for the Local Foods Marketing Practices Survey



- Create a web-scraped list frame of all US local foods farms
  - Farm Name
  - Farm Type (Crops, Livestock, Poultry)
  - Farm Address
  - Farm State
  - Farm Latitude
  - Farm Longitude
  - POC Name
  - POC Address
  - POC State
  - POC Phone
  - POC E-mail





Consequence: Incomplete harvesting of potential open source data



#### **Capture-Recapture: The Big Idea**





# How many bass are in your pond?

- Catch some bass (say 100)
- Tag each one and return to pond
  - Next day catch some more (say 50, 25 are tagged)
    - Half in second group have a tag so estimate half in pond have a tag

$$\frac{25}{50} = \frac{100}{N}$$

• Solve to find N = 200





- 2,007,110 on NASS List Frame
  - Includes all (not just local foods) operations
  - Consists both of confirmed farms and potential local foods farms
- 33,394 on Web-Scraped List Frame, which only has potential local foods
  - Are not confirmed to be farms
  - In urban ag pilot study about half had agricultural activity





- NASS list Stratified Sample Design (24,907)
  - Four groups
    - A: Census and Organic respondents + Value of Sales for food
    - B: Local Foods indicator No Value of Sales
    - C: Potential local foods entities
    - D: All others stratified by likelihood of local foods
  - Sample Allocation: Target CV's (Value of Sales)
    - US level 2.0 3.0
    - Regional 8.0 10.0
    - State Level 10.0 12.0
- Web-Scraped (WS) list Systematic Sample (19,365)
  Ordered by state and web-scraped farm type

1,466 records were in both NASS and Web-Scraped list samples



## **Primary Assumptions for Analysis**



- Two Independent Samples:
  - NASS List Frame
  - Web-Scraped List Frame
- Proportion of web-scraped local foods farms captured in the NASS list frame sample is equal to the proportion of the US local foods farms captured by the NASS list frame sample











**Response Rates** 



- A: Census and Organic respondents with Value of Sales for food
- B: Local Foods indicator No Value of Sales
- C: Potential local foods entities D: All others – stratified by likelihood of local foods 14



#### Responding Local Foods Farms for Capture-Recapture









- 167,009 ± 5845 operations used direct marketing practices to sell food in the US.
- \$8,747 million ± \$892 million of food was sold through direct marketing practices, including value-added products at the first point of sale.
  - \$4.8 billion were direct food sales of raw commodities.
  - \$3.9 billion were food sales of value-added commodities.



### **Local Food Marketing Practices Publication Levels**



#### Levels of Publication: US, Regional, and 30 States



Count of Published items by level	
US	393
7 Regions	33
30 States	15



=States with published data.





- The population is closed (no "births" or "deaths" during the time between the two samples)
  - Samples collected during the same timeframe
- The two lists are independent
  - Web sources used in developing the NASS list frame
  - Lack of independence introduces bias
- All farms are equally likely to be captured in each sample
  - Tried to control for this using logistic regression or by forming categories
  - Heterogeneity tends to cause downward bias





- Capturing a farm in one sample does not affect its catchability in the other sample
  - Operations in both samples only receive one questionnaire
- Farms caught in the first sample can be identified if they are caught in the second sample
  - Assumes perfect record matching



## **Discussion: List Comparison**



#### **In-Scope Rates**



A: Census and Organic respondents with Value of Sales for food

**Response Rates** 

- B: Local Foods indicator No Value of Sales
- C: Potential local foods entities D: All others – stratified by likelihood of local foods 20



## Discussion



- Web scraping for list building
  - More thorough web scraping
  - Prescreening to determine farm status
  - Coverage
- Capture-recapture modeling
  - Same population for both lists?
  - Should sample design emphasize records not on NASS list frame?
  - Probability of capture



#### **Local Foods Team Members**



Mark Apodaca Adam Cline Jeff Bailey James Barham—RD Jeremy Beach Jeff Beranek **Doug Boline** Kara Daniel Saira Farooq **Ginger Harris** Andrew Brosier **Donald Buysse** Vincent Davis Sarah Goodale Pat Gregory

**Brandon Hopkins** Mike Hyman Troy Joshua Doug Kilburg Tom Kruchten Megan Lipke Christy Meyer Peter Quan Scott Shimmin Dominique Sims Holly Smith Flanor Starmer – AMS Danielle Tarpley Nate Vandermeer Stephen Vogel – ERS **Krissy Young** 



## **Selected References**



Abreu, D.A., J.S. McCarthy, L.A. Colburn (2010). Impact of the Screening Procedures of the June Area Survey on the Number of Farms Estimates. Research and Development Division. RDD Research Report Number RDD-1003. Washington, DC: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

Alho, J.M. (1990) Logistic regression in capture-recapture models. *Biometrics*, 46,623-635.

Alho, J.M. (1994) Analysis of sample based capture-recapture experiments. Journal of Official Statistics, 10, 245-256.

Alho, J.M., M.H. Mulry, K. Wurdeman, and J. Kim (1993) Estimating heterogeneity in the probabilities of enumeration for dual-systems estimation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 88: 1130-1136.

Armstrup, Steven C., Trent L. McDonald, and Bryan F.J. Manly (eds) (2005) Handbook of Capture- Recapture Analysis. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ.

Braeye, Toon, Jan Verheagen, Annick Mignon, Wim Flipse, Denis Pierard, Kris Huygen, Carole Schirvel, and Niel Hens (2016) Capturerecapture estimators in epidemiology with applications to pertussis and pneumococcal invasive disease surveillance. *POS One*. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159832</u>.

Chao, Anne (2001) An overview of closed capture-recapture methods. *Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics,* 6, 158-175.

Gemmell, I., T. Millar, and G. Hay (2004) Capture-recapture estimates of problem drug use and the use of simulation based confidence intervals in a stratified analysis. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 58: 758-765. Doi: 10.1136/203.008755.

Grau, Eric, Frank Potter, Steve Williams, and Nuria Diaz-Tena. 2006. Nonresponse adjustment using logistic regression: to weight or not to weight. *Proceedings of the 2006 Joint Statistical Meetings, ASA Section on Survey Research Methods* 3073-3080.

Hickman, Matthew, Stuart Cox, Julie Harvey, Samantha, Howes, Michael Farrell, Martin Frischer, Gerry Stimson, Colin Taylor, and Kate Tilling (1999) Estimating the prevalence of problem drug use in inner London: a discussion of three capture-recapture studies. *Addiction* 11: 1653-1662.

Hogan, H. (1993) The 1990 post-enumeration survey: operations and results. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 88: 1047-1066.

Hopper, Kim, Marybeth Shinn, Eugene Laska, Morris Meisner, and Joseph Wanderling (2008) Estimating numbers of unsheltered homeless people through plant-capture and postcount survey methods. *American Journal of Public Health* 98: 1438-1442. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2005.083600.

Lamas, Andrea C., Denise A. Abreu, Pam Arroway, Kenneth K. Lopiano, and Linda J. Young (2010) Modeling misclassification in the June Area Survey. *Proceedings of the 2010 Joint Statistical Meetings, ASA Section on Survey Research Methods* 2480-2488.



## **Selected References**



Laplace, P.S. (1786) Sur les naissances, les mariages et les morts. In Histoire de l'académie royale des sciences. Année. 1783, Paris.

LaRuche, G., D. Dejour-Salamanca, P. Bernillon, I. Leparc-Goffart, M. Ledrans, A. Armengaud, M. Debruyne, G.A. Denoyel, S. Bichler, L. Ninove, P. Despres, and M. Gastellu-Etchegorry (2013) Capture–recapture method for estimating annual incidence of imported fengue, France, 2007–2010. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 19: 1740-1748. https://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1911.120624.

Lincoln, F.C. (1930) Calculating waterfowl abundance on the basis of banding returns. *Circular of the U.S. Department of Agriculture* No. 118: 1-4.

Mule, Thomas (2012) 2010 Census Coverage Measurement Estimation Report: Summary of Estimates of Coverage for Persons in the United States. DSSD 2010 Census Coverage Measurement Memorandum Series #2010-G-01. Washington, DC; U.S. Census Bureau.

Office of National Statistics (2005) Census 2001 review and evaluation: one number census evaluation report. ONS, London.

Pollock, K.H., S.C. Turner, and C.A. Brown (1994). Use of capture-recapture techniques to estimate population size and population totals when a complete frame is unavailable. *Survey Methodology* 20: 117-124.

Seber, G.A.F. (2002). The Estimation of Animal Abundance and Related Parameters, 2nd edition. The Blackburn Press: Caldwell, New Jersey.

Sekar, C. Chandra and W. Edward Deming (1949). On a method of estimating birth and death reates and the extent of registration. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 44: 101-115.

Sudman, S., M.G. Sirken, and C.D. Cowan (1922) Sampling rare and elusive populations. *Science* 240: 991-996. doi: 10.1128/science.240.4855.991.

U.S. Census Bureau (2004) Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation of Census 2000: Design and Methodology. September, 2004. Online: <u>http://www.Census.gov/prod/2004pubs/dssd03-dm.pdf</u>.

U.S. Census Bureau (2008). 2010 Census Coverage Measurement Estimation Methodology. October, 2008. Online: <u>http://www.Census.gov/coverage\_measurement/pdfs/2010-E-18.pdf</u>.

U.S.D.A. Economic Research Service (ERS) (2013). *Rural-Urban Continuum Codes: Documentation*. U.S.D.A: Washington DC. Online: <u>http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-continuum-codes/documentation.aspx</u>

U.S.D.A. National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) (2014). U.S. Census of Agriculture: United States Summary and State Data, Vol. 1: Geographic Series, Part 51. Report AC-12-A-51., U.S.D.A.: Washington DC. Online:

http://www.agcensus.usda.gov/Publications/2012/Full\_Report/Volume\_1, Chapter\_1\_US/usappxa.pdf

Xu, Yuan, Murray Fyfe, Liz Walker, and Laura L.E. Cowen (2014) Estimating the number of injection drug users in greater Victoria, Canada using capturerecapture methods. *Harm Reduction Journal* 11:9. doi: 10.1186/1477-7517-11-9.

Young, Linda J. Partitioning the capture-recapture estimate of the Census of Agriculture. Research and Development Division. Washington, DC: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service.





## Thank you!

## Linda.Young@nass.usda.gov